U.S. Diversity Course Requirement Committee (USDC) Minutes

Date and Time: Thursday Nov 2 @ 1pm CST

Minutes taker: Paul Hengesteg
Process monitor: Jordan Brooks
Meeting Chairperson: Monica Gordillo
Zoom link found on committee Canvas page

Meeting called to order at: 1:02 pm Meeting adjourned at: 1:55 pm

Was a quorum present?: (at least 5 people for quorum)

Committee members in attendance: (all committee members including chair are voting members; quorum = 5)

- 1. Grad student and CELT rep: <u>Paul Hengesteg</u>, program evaluation coordinator (CELT) and PhD student in School of Education
- 2. VP for student affairs reps (x2): Jen Leptien, director of Learning Communities
- 3. LAS: Stephen Biggs, associate professor, Greenlee School of Journalism and Communication/English
- 4. Chair/ Business: Monica Gordillo, teaching professor, Management and Entrepreneurship
- 5. Design: Jane Rongerue, associate professor, Community and Regional Planning

Committee members *not in attendance*: (move names here)

- 6. Engineering: Diane Rover, university professor, Electrical and Computer Engineering
- 7. CALS: Kurt A. Rosentrater, associate professor, Agriculture and Biosystems Engineering
- 8. VP for student affairs reps (x2): Bill Boulden, associate dean of students/director of Greek Affairs
- 9. Multicultural students leadership council: <u>Jordan Brooks</u>, director of Multicultural Student Success, College of Design and PhD student in School of Education
- 10. Sabbatical Fall 23/ HS: <u>Kelly Reddy-Best</u>, associate professor, Apparel, Events, Hospitality Management
- 11. Student government rep: vacant
- 12. Vet Med: currently vacant

Topic and Outcome	Notes
Topic and Outcome	Tions
	Minutes taker
	Record very brief overview of what was discussed on each topic.
	Record motions made: exact motion, who made the motions. Results of digital votes, when necessary, will be included upon completion of vote.
	Record actions, assignments, deadlines
Meeting responsibilities; roll	
call; chair announcements	Kelsy Gillen, Director of Academic Quality and Undergraduate Education, explain pass process for approving transfer courses.
<u>Discussion</u>	
Lead: Monica	
Minutes approval	
Outcome: vote	
<u>Lead</u> : Monica	
Course proposal review	
Outcome: discussion	We are reviewing transfer courses:
<u>Lead</u> : Monica	
	1. ENG 2550 (Heggen);
	2. MUSC 1110-02 (Kapler);
	3. ENGL 2550 (Kixmiller)
	4. IS 194 (Kapler)
	Guiding questions:
	• Who voted yes on the course? Why?

	 Did anyone vote no? If yes, why? Did anyone feel they need more information? If yes why? Any questions or concerns about the proposal?
	Monica will email a link to vote after the meeting on Nov 2 and will inform the submitters via email.
For the good of the order	
Lead: Monica	
Process monitor report Outcome: informational Lead: Process monitor	 The process monitor will objectively report verbally on the following in one minute or less: Who did we hear from this meeting? Was one person dominating? Did it appear that all individuals had a chance to express their opinions and contribute verbally or via chat? Did we stay on track according to the agenda or if we did get off track, did we acknowledge that it was necessary?