

U.S. Diversity Course Requirement Committee (USDC) Minutes

Date and Time: Feb 7, 9-9:50am CST

Minutes taker and process montior: Jen L.

Meeting Chairperson: Kelly Reddy-Best

Zoom link found on committee Canvas page

Meeting called to order at:

Meeting adjourned at:

Was a quorum present?: (at least 5 people for quorum)

Committee members *in attendance*: (all committee members including chair are voting members; quorum = 5)

1. Grad student and CELT rep: [Paul Hengesteg](#), program evaluation coordinator (CELT) and PhD student in School of Education
2. VP for student affairs reps (x2): [Jen Leptien](#), director of Learning Communities
3. LAS: [Stephen Biggs](#), associate professor, Greenlee School of Journalism and Communication/English
4. Chair/ Business: [Monica Gordillo](#), teaching professor, Management and Entrepreneurship
5. Design: [Jane Rongerue](#), associate professor, Community and Regional Planning
6. Engineering: [Diane Rover](#), university professor, Electrical and Computer Engineering
7. CALS: [Kurt A. Rosentrater](#), associate professor, Agriculture and Biosystems Engineering
8. VP for student affairs reps (x2): [Bill Boulden](#), associate dean of students/director of Greek Affairs
9. Multicultural students leadership council: [Jordan Brooks](#), director of Multicultural Student Success, College of Design and PhD student in School of Education
10. HS: [Kelly Reddy-Best](#), professor, Apparel, Events, Hospitality Management

Committee members *not in attendance*: (move names here)

1. Student government rep: vacant
2. Vet Med: currently vacant

Topic and Outcome	Notes
<p><i>Meeting responsibilities; roll call; chair announcements</i></p> <p><u>Discussion</u> <u>Lead:</u> Kelly</p>	<p>Minutes taker</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Record very brief overview of what was discussed on each topic. • Record motions made: exact motion, who made the motions. Results of digital votes, when necessary, will be included upon completion of vote. • Record actions, assignments, deadlines
<p><i>Minutes approval</i></p> <p><u>Outcome:</u> vote <u>Lead:</u> Kelly</p>	<p>Approve meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nov 16
<p><i>Course proposal review</i></p> <p><u>Outcome:</u> discussion <u>Lead:</u> Kelly</p>	<p>We are reviewing one course:</p> <p>Breanna Kixmiller. GEO 116, Human Geography</p> <p>Guiding questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Who voted yes on the course? Why? • Did anyone vote no? If yes, why? • Did anyone feel they need more information? If yes, why? • Any questions or concerns about the proposal?

	Kelly will email a link to vote after the meeting on Feb 7 and will inform the submitter via email.
<i>USD Name Change</i> Outcome: discussion and vote after meeting Lead: Kelly	
<i>For the good of the order</i> <u>Lead:</u> Kelly	
<i>Process monitor report</i> <u>Outcome:</u> informational <u>Lead:</u> Process monitor	The process monitor will objectively report verbally on the following in one minute or less: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Who did we hear from this meeting? Was one person dominating? • Did it appear that all individuals had a chance to express their opinions and contribute verbally or via chat? • Did we stay on track according to the agenda or if we did get off track, did we acknowledge that it was necessary?