

DATE: 08/30/23 TIME: 1:00PM

LOCATION: 107 Lab of Mechanics

Minutes

- 1. Called to order at 1:10pm
- 2. Present: Matthew Frank, Ajay Nair, Ryan Bhattacharyya, Biswa Das, Melha Mellata, Paul Griffiths,

Tim Day, Rahul Parsa, Omar Smadi, Arnie Cowan, Dawn Bratsch-Prince

- 3. Approval of minutes from 4/13 Approved with grammar correction
- 4. Committee updates (CC, Documents, CDDR)
 - a. CC:
- i. Looking for a representative from ENG
- ii. Planning to review department documents to compare with FH language on Term Faculty
- 5. FH 5.4.1.2 Term Faculty Review for Renewal of Appointment
 - a. Discussed burden on departments to conduct review process along with that on the candidate, in particular for those of Associate rank and beyond
 - b. Current language should move statement on committee makeup to end
 - c. New language should reflect that this is in regards to periodic peer review, similar to that of post tenure review, not necessarily to be confused with review for renewal or annual review
 - d. Proposed language to be discussed at next Gov Council meeting
- 6. Proposed Change to Article VI, Section 3. Council on Academic Affairs
 - a. Discussion on need for a voice from advising would be helpful in discussions as a non-voting member.
 - b. Additional non substantive edit proposed to update title of multicultural student affairs
 - c. Motion to send to EB for consideration unanimously approved
- 7. FH 3.3.2 Rank only term faculty titles and administrative duties

- a. Discussion on 3.3.2 clarified to indicate that this relates to Term Faculty in administrative roles, not specific to rank only titles
- b. Current language on some term faculty already limit responsibilities, or for example of Teaching faculty, require minimum teaching responsibilities.
- c. Questions circulated as to whether the language restricting admin roles in actually needed/warranted.
- d. Tabled discussion to next meeting
- 8. FH 3.1.4 Rank and Tenure Upon Appointment for Tenure Eligible Faculty (update)
 - a. Topic forwarded to FDAR for further discussion

9. Other

a. External letters for Term Faculty: brought up for future discussion (i.e. that the FH may not be clear on the requirement for, or disallowing of external letters as part of review for Term Faculty advancement). To be discussed at next council meeting