#### Motivation:

After several years operating under FH guidance since the introduction of new Term Faculty governance language, there are numerous opportunities to make improvements, in response to both feedback from units on campus and general practices that have been adopted and observed across campus. This proposal suggests changes to 3 sections of the handbook in chapters 3 and 5. These changes affect restrictions on the administrative roles a term faculty member can hold, practices for periodic reviews, reviews for renewal and renews for advancement, as outlined below:

It is suggested that section **3.3.2** strike language prohibiting term faculty from holding administrative roles. This is suggested for two reasons; 1) that decisions on whether to select term faculty for administrative roles should be left at the local level in colleges and departments, and 2) that current practice has not and does not follow this language. In addition, 3.3.2.3.1 does not clearly state that continuous service at rank must be at ISU, therefore this needed to be clarified.

It is suggested that section **5.4.1** should be divided in a manner that separately discusses Periodic Peer Reviews and Reviews for Renewal of appointment. This entails adding Periodic Peer Reviews under section **5.4.1** as section **5.4.1.2**. Within **5.4.1**. the parentheticals listing "chair or designee" and "peer review behind each bullet point was removed due to confusion; instead referring readers to sections for further information about each type of review. There was also concern that in the absence of regular peer reviews (up to 7 years as per this proposal, one would want a peer review available to inform a renewal decision after a potential unsatisfactory review. Hence, in section **5.4.1.1**, the statement was added that one unsatisfactory annual review automatically triggers peer review. This is to ensure that the next renewal decision is informed by peer review.

In addition, the current language stipulates that Term faculty be reviewed before the end of their third year of appointment and subsequently are subject to peer review every 3 years or at the appointment renewal time, whichever is greater. In a department with 6 or 7 term faculty they are conducting 2 or 3 of these reviews every year. This is not only a burden on the department, but also does not align well with tenure eligible faculty where reviews post tenure are less frequent then pre-tenure. It is therefore suggested that reviews only occur every 3 years as Assistant Professor and then reduce to every 7 years at the Associate level and above.

The current section **5.4.1.3** stipulates that term research faculty must include external letters in the review for advancement. This language has created confusion in many departments across campus, as committees are unsure whether this applies to any term faculty with research appointments in their PRS or only research term faculty. Additionally, a small number of departments have required external letters for all term faculty advancement, regardless of the type of appointment. The soliciting of external letters creates an unnecessary burden in general and more specifically is not appropriate for faculty with primarily teaching appointments. As such language is added to **5.4.1.4** to clarify that external letters should only be solicited for research faculty, and a limited number of external letters should be solicited. In addition, the length of time between advancement and the next peer review has been removed, as that information is included in the proposal for changes to 5.4.1.3.

The previous language also suggested that prior service at another institution can be applied for advancement at the time of hiring, or at the first multi-year contract. This has been simplified to only specify "before the issuance of the first multi-year contract". In addition, there is previous language specifying that prior service cannot count toward years of continuous service warranting multi-year contracts. This language is confusing and not necessary in a section describing advancement.

#### Changes to Section 3.3.2:

**FH 3.3.2 Term Faculty Appointments** Term faculty are those individuals employed by Iowa State who hold limited term, full, or part-time renewable appointments that are not eligible for tenure and are subject to approval by the department, college, and Office of the Senior Vice President and Provost. Term faculty hold positions which have roles involved with the university's missions of instruction, clinical practice, research, <u>administration</u>, extension, and/or outreach, and perform a range of professional duties at the university. These appointments will normally be nine months. The responsibilities of term faculty members are defined in their individual PRS within the guidelines outlined in Section 3.3.2.2. Positions that primarily perform administrative functions instead of faculty responsibilities are not permitted. Persons holding term appointments are eligible to be named to the Graduate Faculty in accordance with Graduate College procedures.

### Changes to Section 3.3.2.3.1

### 3.3.2.3.1 Term Assistant/Lecturer Rank

The assistant/lecturer rank is for faculty who have the potential to contribute significantly to the mission of the university as defined in their PRS. Additional specifications related to this rank are listed below.

- **Term lecturers** are appointed for a term of up to one year. Once a lecturer has completed three academic years of continuous employment, they shall transition to a term assistant teaching professor if renewed. Time in rank as a lecturer counts toward advancement to the associate rank and towards multi-year contracts as term assistant professors.
- **Term assistant professors** are appointed for a term of one to three years. Once a term assistant professor has completed three academic years of continuous employment at rank <u>at ISU</u>, subsequent terms will be for two or three years.
- Assistant professors of practice are appointed for terms of one semester up to three years.

These appointments require a notice of one year of intent not to renew except when the appointment is for one year or less, in which case a notice of three months is required.

Original	Proposed
5.4.1 Evaluation and Renewal of Term Faculty	5.4.1 Evaluation and Renewal of Term Faculty
For the purposes of evaluating performance, Iowa	For the purposes of evaluating performance, Iowa
State uses the following forms of review for term	State uses the following forms of review for term
faculty:	faculty:

#### Changes to Section 5.4.1.2:

- annual reviews (chair or designee)
- review for renewal of appointment (peer review)
- review for advancement (peer review)

Peer reviews must take place every three years (not counting annual reviews) or at appointment renewal time, whichever is greater.

# 5.4.1.1 Annual Review

Annual performance reviews (Section 5.1.1.2) are required for all term faculty whether full-time or part-time and are conducted by the department chair or their designee. The purpose of the review is to evaluate overall performance and is based on an individual's PRS. The annual evaluation will serve as a basis for determining merit salary increases.

# 5.4.1.2 Review for Renewal of Appointment

Term faculty appointments are eligible for renewal based upon the quality of performance and the continuing need of the unit. Term faculty members, full-time and part-time, must be reviewed by an appropriate faculty committee before the end of the third year after the initial appointment date. Tenured faculty and term faculty at the associate professor or professor ranks must be eligible to serve on the committee. Subsequent peer reviews must occur every three years or at appointment renewal time, whichever is greater. The outcomes of peer reviews must inform appointment renewal decisions.

- annual reviews (chair or designee)(Section 5.4.1.1)
- periodic peer reviews (Section 5.4.1.2)
- review for renewal of appointment (peer review)(Section 5.4.1.3)
- review for advancement (peer review)(Section
  <u>5.4.1.4</u>)

Peer reviews must take place every three years (not counting annual reviews) or at appointment renewal time, whichever is greater.

# 5.4.1.1 Annual Review

Annual performance reviews (Section 5.1.1.2) are required for all term faculty whether full-time or part-time and are conducted by the department chair or their designee. The purpose of the review is to evaluate overall performance and is based on an individual's PRS. The annual evaluation will serve as a basis for determining merit salary increases.

One unsatisfactory annual review automatically triggers a peer review.

## **5.4.1.2 Periodic Peer Review**

Term faculty members, full-time and part-time, must be reviewed by an appropriate faculty committee periodically throughout their appointment.

While at the assistant professor rank, term faculty must be reviewed before the end of the third year after the initial appointment date. Subsequent peer reviews must occur every three years. The outcomes of the peer reviews must be reported to the department chair for consideration in annual review materials. Tenured and term faculty at the associate professor or professor ranks are eligible to serve on the review committee.

Term faculty at the associate professor or professor ranks must be reviewed at least every seven years. Tenured and term faculty at the professor ranks are eligible to serve on the review committee.

5.4.1.3 Review for Renewal of Appointmen-t Term faculty appointments are eligible for renewal based upon the quality of performance and the continuing need of the unit.
Renewal of appointment for term faculty will be based on all annual performance evaluations and any periodic peer reviews completed since the initial appointment or previous renewal of appointment. When applicableavailable, t-The outcomes of periodic peer reviews must inform appointment renewal decisions.

Original	Proposed
5.4.1.3 Review for Advancement	5.4.1.3-4 Review for Advancement
All term faculty may be proposed for	All term faculty may be proposed for
advancement to the next rank according to the	advancement to the next rank according to the
schedule and current time at rank as specified in	schedule and current time at rank as specified in
Section 3.3.2.3. Faculty are encouraged to	Section 3.3.2.3. Faculty are encouraged to
document equity, diversity, and inclusion	document equity, diversity, and inclusion
activities, and the impact of this work related to	activities, and the impact of this work related to
teaching, research/creative activities, extension	teaching, research/creative activities, extension
and/or professional practice, institutional service,	and/or professional practice, institutional service,
and/or other areas of their job responsibilities.	and/or other areas of their job responsibilities.
Colleges and departments must develop	
procedures in their governance documents for	Colleges and departments must develop
advancing term faculty that include a faculty peer	procedures in their governance documents for
review committee. Tenured faculty and term	advancing term faculty that include a faculty peer
faculty at or above the rank being considered must	review committee. Tenured faculty and term
be eligible to serve on the committee. The next	faculty at or above the rank being considered must
peer review must occur during the third year	be eligible to serve on the committee. The next
following a successful advancement review or at	peer review must occur during the third year
appointment renewal time.	following a successful advancement review or at
	appointment renewal time.
Term faculty appointments at the assistant/lecturer	
rank are eligible for promotion to the associate	Term faculty appointments at the assistant/lecturer
level after five years of employment as a faculty	rank are eligible for promotion to the associate
member at Iowa State (full- or part-time) or	level after five years of employment as a faculty
equivalent experience. They must meet the	member at Iowa State (full- or part-time) or
standards for appointment identified in Section	equivalent experience. They must meet the
3.3.2.3. For term research faculty, external letters	standards for appointment identified in Section
must be included in the review for advancement.	3.3.2.3.
	For term research faculty <u>advancement</u> , external
	letters must be included in the review for
	advancementshall onlyshall only-must be solicited
	or included inincluded only in cases for Term
	Research Faculty (Section 3.3.2.2) or Adjunct
	Faculty (Section 3.3.2.2) with at least 50%
	research expectations in the PRS, in which case at
	most 3 external letters can be included required.

<b>5.4.1.3.1 Introduction</b>	<b>5.4.1.34.1 Introduction</b>
All term faculty may seek advancement to the	All term faculty may seek advancement to the
next rank according to the schedule and current	next rank according to the schedule and current
time at rank as specified in Section 3.3.2.3.	time at rank as specified in Section 3.3.2.3.
Recommendation for advancement is based upon	Recommendation for advancement is based upon
an assessment of the record since the last	an assessment of the record since the last
advancement. All advancement reviews for term	advancement. All advancement reviews for term
faculty are non-mandatory, and an eligible faculty	faculty are non-mandatory, and an eligible faculty
member may decline to seek advancement.	member may decline to seek advancement.
Advancement review and contract renewal review	Advancement review and contract renewal review
are separate and independent processes.	are separate and independent processes.
<b>5.4.1.3.2 Advancement and Credit for Prior</b>	<b>5.4.1.34.2 Advancement and Credit for Prior</b>
<b>Service</b>	<b>Service</b>
Term faculty may seek advancement review,	Term faculty may seek advancement review,
which is non-mandatory. Colleges and/or	which is non-mandatory. Colleges and/or
departments may have more specific criteria for	departments may have more specific criteria for
advancement, which must be clearly documented	advancement, which must be clearly documented
and available for the faculty member seeking	and available for the faculty member seeking
advancement (Section 5.4.1.3.3). A faculty	advancement (Section 5.4.1.3.3). A faculty
member's entire academic career, including	member's entire academic career, including
service as a faculty member at other institutions,	service as a faculty member at other institutions,
may be considered for advancement. However,	may be considered for advancement. However,
service at other institutions does not count toward	service at other institutions does not count toward
the three years of continuous service at Iowa State	the three years of continuous service at Iowa State
after which contracts are required to be for two to	after which contracts are required to be for two to
three years (Section 3.3.2.3).	three years (Section 3.3.2.3).
Term faculty appointments (full- or part-time) at	Term faculty appointments (full- or part-time) at
the lecturer/assistant rank are eligible for	the lecturer/assistant rank are eligible for
advancement to the associate rank after a total of	advancement to the associate rank after a total of
five years of employment as a faculty member at	five years of employment as a faculty member at
Iowa State. Advancement to the term associate	Iowa State. Advancement to the term associate
rank denotes a record of successful contributions	rank denotes a record of successful contributions
to the mission of the university or the professional	to the mission of the university or the professional
field as defined in the PRS and promise of further	field as defined in the PRS and promise of further
accomplishment (Section 3.3.2.3).	accomplishment (Section 3.3.2.3).
There is no set timeline for advancement from	The five years of service at Iowa State may be
term associate to term professor rank.	reduced through credit for prior faculty service at
Advancement to the term professor rank is	other academic institutions or relevant
appropriate for term faculty who have proven their	professional expertise. Credit for prior service is
excellence in the primary responsibilities	granted at the discretion of the hiring unit before
identified in their PRS and effectiveness in any	the issuance of the first multi-year contract.
other areas of their PRS (Section 3.3.2.3). A	There is no set timeline for advancement from
recommendation for advancement to term	term associate to term professor rank.
professor must be based upon an assessment of the	Advancement to the term professor rank is

I

record since the last advancement, regardless of	appropriate for term faculty who have proven their
the institution that granted it.	excellence in the primary responsibilities
	identified in their PRS and effectiveness in any
The five years of service at Iowa State may be	other areas of their PRS (Section 3.3.2.3). A
reduced through credit for prior faculty service at	recommendation for advancement to term
other academic institutions or relevant	professor must be based upon an assessment of the
professional expertise. Credit for prior 85 service	record since the last advancement, regardless of
is granted at the discretion of the hiring unit at the time of hire or before the issuance of the first	the institution that granted it.
	The five years of convice at Jowe State may be
multi-year contract.	The five years of service at Iowa State may be
	reduced through credit for prior faculty service at other academic institutions or relevant
	professional expertise. Credit for prior service is
	granted at the discretion of the hiring unit at the
	time of hire or before the issuance of the first
	multi-year contract.
5.4.1.3.3 Documentation for Advancement	5.4.1.34.3 Documentation for Advancement
<b>Review</b> The candidate is responsible for	<b>Review</b> The candidate is responsible for
submitting a faculty portfolio documenting their	submitting a faculty portfolio documenting their
performance with respect to the responsibilities	performance with respect to the responsibilities
identified in their PRS. Each college must	identified in their PRS. Each college must
establish guidelines and a template for faculty	establish guidelines and a template for faculty
indicating how faculty seeking advancement shall	indicating how faculty seeking advancement shall
document their performance. As stated in Section	document their performance. As stated in Section
5.4.1.3.1, all advancement reviews for term	5.4.1.3.1, all advancement reviews for term
faculty are non-mandatory, and an eligible faculty	faculty are non-mandatory, and an eligible faculty
member may decline to seek advancement.	member may decline to seek advancement.

## 5.4.1.3.4 Department Review

Colleges and departments must develop procedures in their governance documents for advancing term faculty that include a faculty peer review committee. Term, tenured, and tenuredeligible faculty must be eligible to serve on advancement committees with the same rank expectations (as distinct from appointment type) as the department uses for promotion and tenure committees. For term research faculty, external letters must be included in the review for advancement (Section 5.4.1.3).

The department reviews the candidate's advancement materials per the departmental governance document process. The department chair will inform the term faculty member whether a recommendation will be forwarded to the college dean, and, if so, the nature of the recommendation(s). Term faculty who are not recommended by either the department committee, the department chair, or both, will be informed in writing by the department chair. This information should be presented in a constructive manner and, where appropriate, should include guidance for improving performance relative to the department's criteria for advancement.

## 5.4.1.34.4 Department Review

Colleges and departments must develop procedures in their governance documents for advancing term faculty that include a faculty peer review committee. Term, tenured, and tenuredeligible faculty must be eligible to serve on advancement committees with the same rank expectations (as distinct from appointment type) as the department uses for promotion and tenure committees. For term research faculty, external letters must be included in the review for advancement (Section 5.4.1.3).

The department reviews the candidate's advancement materials per the departmental governance document process. The department chair will inform the term faculty member whether a recommendation will be forwarded to the college dean, and, if so, the nature of the recommendation(s). Term faculty who are not recommended by either the department committee, the department chair, or both, will be informed in writing by the department chair. This information should be presented in a constructive manner and, where appropriate, should include guidance for improving performance relative to the department's criteria for advancement.