

**IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE
EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING AGENDA**

October 5, 2010

3:00-5:00 p.m.

107 Lab of Mechanics

Members Present: Anderson, D.; Bratsch-Prince, D.; Dark, V.; Freeman, S.; Hendrich, S.; Hoffman, E.; Holger, D.; Katz, A.; Loy, D.; Owen, M. (Chair); Palermo, G.; Selby, M.; Smiley-Oyen, A.; Stalder, K.; Torrie, M.; van der Valk, A.; Wallace, R.; Walter, S.

I. Meeting called to order by President Owen at 3:00 pm

II. Approval of consent agenda

Motion to approve consent agenda and minutes by Walter; Loy second. Motion passed.

III. Announcements and Remarks

- A. President (Owen) had no announcements.
- B. President-Elect (Freeman) will announce on the floor of the senate that there will be no Faculty Conference this year.
- C. Provost (Hoffman) stated that there was not yet any announcement from the revenue estimating conference. She stated that the salary policy will be taken up in P.P.A.
- D. Council/Caucus Chairs
 - 1. Wallace (LAS) --Minor in Sustainability to be discussed in Faculty Senate Curriculum Committee.
 - 2. Smiley-Oyen (FDAR) Nontenure Eligible Faculty Report is being discussed for policy recommendations on Oct 22. Plan is to meet with the deans. Hoffman reported that the deans are not clear about the 25% & 15% values in the handbook and ask if they are realistic given the task force findings. van der Valk reviewed the history and background of the policy. There was discussion of factors contributing to the growth of NTE faculty at ISU, especially in contrast to U of I. Owen will go to the meeting with Smiley-Oyen to present the rationale to the deans.

IV. Old Business

- A. Stalder discussed the Proposed Revision of 5.7 of the Faculty Handbook. The VPs of Economic Development and Extension and Outreach need to be added and the review language needs to be modified to include them. Walter moved to accept the proposed wording from the Governance Council, van der Valk seconded. Motion carried.

(end minutes by Torrie, begin by Dark)

The Proposed Revision of 5.7 was again discussed later in the meeting. That discussion led to a further revision: van der Valk asked whether the review cycle should be changed to six years because there are now six offices. After discussion of the pros (e.g., one review per year) and the cons (e.g., the cycle is unlikely to stay one year because of the turnover in the VPs and the need to have the VP in place three years before a review), it was moved and seconded to remove mention of the 5 year cycle from line 1. Motion passed unanimously.

- B. Owen reported on the discussion of tabled S09-29, the B.E.T. in Information and Computer Engineering Technology. Owen and Freeman met with MIS faculty who had expressed concern that the administration was pushing the degree forward. They are to develop an overview of their concerns in writing. They believe that the B.E.T. has large substantive overlap with an MIS degree. There was discussion of how the B.E.T. came to the floor apparently without support of key faculty (e.g., MIS). Hoffman indicated that the B.E.T. is designed to bring to ISU students who would not otherwise attend. She had been told that MIS was not willing to get involved in the program last year. Selby stated that there was concern among some engineering faculty that the degree was not an engineering degree. Owen and Freeman will meet with the Computer Science and Computer Engineering faculty. The hope is to clarify the issues and then, if possible, reach consensus. The current discussions do not include the deans. The issue will not be back before the senate this semester.
- C. Owen noted that there is a process established in all colleges by which faculty are engaged in budget discussions. He will compile the notes about how faculty are involved in the process and will distribute to the EB for comment. Torrie noted that whatever is posted needs to identify to whom to go for questions.
- D. Owen presented the resolution to establish the committee to review the VP for Student Affairs. The resolution must be approved by the senate. Bratsch-Prince noted that although there is a female graduate student member of the committee, there is no female faculty member. Owen reported that he tried, but no one would agree. Smiley-Oyen suggested that she would try to get a female faculty member of FDAR. Palermo made the motion to add the resolution as a special order on the Faculty Senate Agenda on Tuesday. Wallace second. Motion passed.
- E. Stalder announced that the Governance Council is working on the wording of FH 2.7. They are trying to remove the table from the text. This will be noted in the President's remarks at the Senate.

V. Approval of Senate Agenda

A motion to approve the Senate Agenda for October 12, 2010 was made by Freeman, seconded by van der Valk. Motion passed.

VI. Good of the Order

Hendrich asked if faculty could bring cookies to the FS meeting. To the great disappointment of all present, it was noted that MU regulations prevented such action.

Loy moved to adjourn. Stalder seconded. The meeting was adjourned at 4:20.

NEXT MEETING – TUESDAY, November 2, 2010

Respectfully submitted, with thanks to Margaret Torrie for the first 30 minutes,
Veronica Dark
Faculty Senate Secretary
28 October 2010