

***Your Library is at a crossroads!***

***How will you act?***

*A Discussion with the Faculty Senate: December 6, 2005*

*University Library Committee (ULC)*

## ***A Faculty and Student Partnership to Save Your Scholarly Resources***

- ***A Bit of History***
- ***A Very Successful Partnership***
- ***The Problem***
- ***Where ISU currently stands: Land-Grant 11 & Big 12***
- ***What have our peer institutions done?***
- ***The Consequences of not acting!***
- ***What is needed from the Faculty Senate?***

Faculty Senate

Committee To Examine  
The Crisis in Scholarly Communication  
And  
Journal Subscriptions

OPPORTUNITIES FOR PURSUING  
A NEW STRATEGIC VISION  
FOR THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Report  
February 3, 1999

*The challenge for Iowa State University is to maintain access to significant scholarship and information for faculty, students, and staff at a time when both the volume and price of scholarship and information have increased nearly threefold in the last decade alone.*

- ***Faculty Senate***
- ***University Library Committee (ULC)***
- ***Library Administration***

*Goal statement in the 1999 report:*

***However, despite its many significant achievements, we believe that the University Library has lost its competitive edge in two essential and intertwined areas as it supports Iowa State University's aspiration to be the "Nation's Premier Land-Grant University."***

- 1. The first is the infrastructure support for electronic resources as part of the university's information technology goal.***
- 2. The second is the library acquisitions budget for its journals and monographs collection.***

**Association of Research Libraries Statistics  
Iowa State, Iowa and Land-Grant 11 Universities  
Electronic Serials Expenditures  
2004**

<u>2004</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>
<b>Iowa State</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4,499,192</b>
<b>Ohio State</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4,247,736</b>
<b>Minnesota</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3,946,325</b>
<b>Arizona</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3,905,137</b>
<b>Texas A&amp;M</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3,461,910</b>
<b>Iowa</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3,341,317</b>
<b>Michigan State</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>2,581,546</b>
<b>Illinois, Urbana</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>2,469,349</b>
<b>California-Davis</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>1,838,285</b>
<b>Purdue</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>1,762,604</b>
<b>Wisconsin</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>1,605,662</b>
<b>N. Carolina State</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>1,432,515</b>

Success

*In 1999, the Library had just a few electronic journals, whereas today, we have the e-Library which has just over 18,993 journals and books and our library is ranked 5<sup>th</sup> among all ARL Libraries in electronic resources.*

**A Very Successful Partnership**

## University Library Materials & Access Budget FY01-06

Year	M&A Budget	Actual Budget	
		Increased \$	Percent Increase
FY01	7,212,594.00		
FY02	7,732,344.00	519,750.00	7.21
FY03	8,148,095.00	415,751.00	5.38
FY04	8,474,019.00	325,924.00	4.00
FY05	8,497,981.00	23,962.00	0.28
FY06	8,497,981.00	0.00	0.00

**Switched to  
Provost  
Budget  
(Note trend)**



Year	A 5% Fall Behind Budget	
	If a 5% Annual Increase	Difference from Budgeted
FY01		
FY02	7,573,223.70	\$159,120.30
FY03	7,951,884.89	\$196,210.12
FY04	8,349,479.13	\$124,539.87
FY05	8,766,953.09	-\$268,972.09
FY06	9,205,300.74	-\$707,319.74

Year	A 10% Status Quo Budget	
	If a 10% Annual Increase	Difference from Budgeted
FY01		
FY02	\$7,933,853.40	-\$201,509.40
FY03	\$8,727,238.74	-\$579,143.74
FY04	\$9,599,962.61	-\$1,125,943.61
FY05	\$10,559,958.88	-\$2,061,977.88
FY06	\$11,615,954.76	-\$3,117,973.76

**With 10% annual inflation on a \$8,000,000 Materials & Access Budget \$800,000 is needed each year just to maintain the status quo!**

**The Problem**

## **ISU ranks DEAD LAST among the Land-Grant 11 Libraries**

Land-Grant 11 Benchmark Institutions & University of Iowa Rank in ARL Membership Index				Total Library Expenditures			
University	1995	2004	Difference	1995	2004	Difference	Percent Change
Illinois, Urbana	5	6	-1	\$22,357,366	\$33,557,443	\$11,200,077	50.10%
Wisconsin	13	11	2	\$26,720,142	\$39,251,812	\$12,531,670	46.90%
Minnesota	15	19	-4	\$24,746,720	\$31,640,604	\$6,893,884	27.86%
Ohio State	26	21	5	\$20,369,013	\$28,509,784	\$8,140,771	39.97%
Iowa	33	26	7	\$16,189,279	\$24,118,906	\$7,929,627	48.98%
North Carolina State	58	27	31	\$11,850,782	\$25,042,984	\$13,192,202	111.32%
Arizona	29	30	-1	\$17,637,809	\$27,064,875	\$9,427,066	53.45%
Texas A&M	56	33	23	\$15,003,095	\$25,842,504	\$10,839,409	72.25%
Michigan State	37	39	-2	\$13,072,723	\$22,557,590	\$9,484,867	72.55%
California-Davis	34	46	-12	\$16,092,917	\$19,557,745	\$3,464,828	21.53%
Purdue	69	76	-7	\$11,009,634	\$17,745,361	\$6,735,727	61.18%
<b>Iowa State</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>-6</b>	\$12,888,831	\$16,076,113	\$3,187,282	24.73%

## **ISU ranks third from last among the BIG-12 Libraries**

Big-12 Institutions Rank in ARL Membership Index				Total Library Expenditures			
University	1995	2004	Difference	1995	2004	Difference	Percent Change
Univ. of Texas	10	10	0	\$22,358,324	\$36,316,124	\$13,957,800	62.43%
Texas A&M	56	33	23	\$15,003,095	\$25,842,504	\$10,839,409	72.25%
Univ. of Kansas	38	42	-4	\$13,657,901	\$19,076,650	\$5,418,749	39.67%
Univ. of Oklahoma	88	54	34	\$9,068,922	\$17,232,008	\$8,163,086	90.01%
Texas Tech *	80	57	23	\$11,506,888	\$18,527,587	\$7,020,699	61.01%
Univ. of Colorado	49	64	-15	\$16,116,253	\$18,390,430	\$2,274,177	14.11%
Oklahoma State Univ.	90	70	20	\$8,722,663	\$12,883,426	\$4,160,763	47.70%
<b>Iowa State</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>-6</b>	\$12,888,831	\$16,076,113	\$3,187,282	24.73%
Univ. of Missouri	60	83	-23	\$11,142,123	\$14,231,832	\$3,089,709	27.73%
Univ. of Nebraska	76	85	-9	\$9,661,672	\$13,446,172	\$3,784,500	39.17%
Kansas State Univ.	-	-	-				
Baylor University	-	-	-				

**Where ISU currently stands: Land-Grant 11 & Big 12**

***Our peer Land-Grant 11 institutions have made their library budgets a priority!***

Land-Grant 11 Benchmark Institutions & University of Iowa Rank in ARL Membership Index				Total Library Expenditures			
University	1995	2004	Difference	1995	2004	Difference	Percent Change
Illinois, Urbana	5	6	-1	\$22,357,366	\$33,557,443	\$11,200,077	50.10%
Wisconsin	13	11	2	\$26,720,142	\$39,251,812	\$12,531,670	46.90%
Minnesota	15	19	-4	\$24,746,720	\$31,640,604	\$6,893,884	27.86%
Ohio State	26	21	5	\$20,369,013	\$28,509,784	\$8,140,771	39.97%
Iowa	33	26	7	\$16,189,279	\$24,118,906	\$7,929,627	48.98%
North Carolina State	58	27	31	\$11,850,782	\$25,042,984	\$13,192,202	111.32%
Arizona	29	30	-1	\$17,637,809	\$27,064,875	\$9,427,066	53.45%
Texas A&M	56	33	23	\$15,003,095	\$25,842,504	\$10,839,409	72.25%
Michigan State	37	39	-2	\$13,072,723	\$22,557,590	\$9,484,867	72.55%
California-Davis	34	46	-12	\$16,092,917	\$19,557,745	\$3,464,828	21.53%
Purdue	69	76	-7	\$11,009,634	\$17,745,361	\$6,735,727	61.18%
<b>Iowa State</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>-6</b>	\$12,888,831	\$16,076,113	\$3,187,282	24.73%

***Our peer BIG-12 institutions have made their library budgets a priority, and 8 of 12 have implemented a student credit hour library fee.***

Big-12 Institutions Rank in ARL Membership Index				Total Library Expenditures	Example of ISU Revenue @\$740,420 SCH/FY04	Library Fee
University	1995	2004	Difference	Percent Change	Fee	SCH/YEAR
Univ. of Texas	10	10	0	62.43%	\$9,099,761	\$12.29 - FY 2006
Texas A&M	56	33	23	72.25%	\$16,151,156	\$21.80 - FY 2006
Univ. of Kansas	38	42	-4	39.67%	\$740,420	\$1.00 - FY2001
Univ. of Oklahoma	88	54	34	90.01%	\$6,444,641	\$8.70 - FY2006
Texas Tech *	80	57	23	61.01%	\$11,106,300	\$15.00 - FY2005
Univ. of Colorado	49	64	-15	14.11%		
Oklahoma State Univ.	90	70	20	47.70%	\$6,478,674	\$8.75 - FY2006
<b>Iowa State</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>-6</b>	24.73%		
Univ. of Missouri	60	83	-23	27.73%		
Univ. of Nebraska	76	85	-9	39.17%	\$1,480,840	\$2.00 - FY2006
Kansas State Univ.	-	-	-		\$888,441	\$1.05 - FY????
Baylor University	-	-	-			

***What have our peer institutions done?***

### History of Journal Cancellation Projects

<u>Year</u>	<u>Number of Titles Cancelled</u>	<u>Total Dollars Cancelled</u>	<u>% of Journal Budget</u>
1980/81	550	\$ 45,000	10%
1986/87	1,168	\$ 105,814	10%
1991/92	1,424	\$ 299,550	13%
1998/99	1,818	\$ 550,000	14%
2005/06?	??	\$ 800,000	??
2006/07?	??	\$ 800,000	??

***The consequences of not acting are quite simple – there will be a extremely rapid decline in our scholarly resources (books and journals)!!***

***The Consequences of not acting!***

## **University Library Committee – A Partnership Proposal**

*The ULC is proposing a partnership between ISU faculty and students to save our scholarly resources.*

- Students will help save our library by supporting a library fee.*
- Faculty will help save our library by supporting a central mandatory inflation increase for the materials & access budget.*

*The student and faculty members of the ULC unanimously support a library fee. The ULC has met with the GSB leadership and they are willing to consider a fee if the faculty will do their part and support a mandatory inflationary increase for the materials & access budget.*

***The faculty and student members of the ULC ask that:***

***The Faculty Senate support a resolution calling for a central mandatory inflation increase for the library's materials & access budget.***

***What is needed from the Faculty Senate?***

## ***The facts are clear:***

- In terms of funding, our library stands at the ***very bottom*** of our Land-Grant 11 and BIG-12 peer institutions.
- Clearly, the majority of our Land-Grant 11 and BIG-12 peer institutions have made funding of their libraries ***a very high priority***.
- The consequences of ***not acting WILL RESULT in a rapid decline*** of our scholarly books and journals.
- Our students have indicated that they are willing to step forward and save our library if our faculty will do their part.

***The question is simple: it is YOUR Library –  
what are you going to do??***